# PEDUNCLE, PEDICEL, AND CAPSULE EPIDERMAL CHARACTERS OF CERTAIN ORCHID SPECIES FROM NORTHEAST INDIA

#### Akhil Baruah

Phytodiversity Research Cell, P. G. Department of Botany, Darrang College, Tezpur - 784 001, Assam, India

#### Abstract

Epidermal characters of peduncle, pedicel, and capsule of five orchid species of NorthEast India were studied. The epidermal data/ characters (both qualitative and quantitative) obtained were found useful in deciphering the individual species. On the basis of useful taxonomic characters, an artificial taxonomic key was prepared.

# Introduction

OUT OF a total of about 1,150 orchid species known to exist in India, as many as 825 species are known to occur in NorthEast India (Hegde, 2000). Anatomical characters can be used to distinguish species in vegetative phase (Metcalfe, 1961). Earlier many authors hinted that vegetative anatomical characters can be used as a tool to identify the orchid species (Kaushik, 1982, 1983; Mahan Ram and Khasim, 1987; Stern and Judd, 1999). It is often found difficult to identify the orchid members at their vegetative stage. If there are no flowers, it may not be possible to determine their nomenclatural types accurately. Hence, their vegetative external characteristics cannot always be taken as a basis for accurate classification and anatomical characters like epidermal, is much desirable to bring out differences at species level (Baruah and Sonowal, 2010; Sonowal and Baruah, 2010; Stern and Judd, 1999). Very little has been known about the epidermal characters of peduncle, pedicel, and capsule of orchid species. In the present investigation, an attempt has been made to evaluate the epidermal characters of peduncle, pedicel, and capsule of five orchid species, as an aid for taxonomic determination from NorthEast India.

## Materials and Methods

Materials undertaken in the present investigation consists of five orchid species *i.e.* Aerides multiflora Roxb., Cymbidium pendulum (Roxb.) Sw., Dendrobium moschatum (Buch.-Ham.) Sw., Phaius tankervilliae (L'Herit.) BI. and Rhynchostylis retusa (L.) BI. Identifications of the studied orchid species were confirmed by consulting the relevant literature (Barua, 2001; Chowdhery, 1998; Hegde, 2000; Hynniewta *et. al.*, 2000). Small fragments of peduncle, pedicel, and capsule of sizes 2-5  $\times$  3-8 mm, taken from the middle regions, were boiled in 5% KOH solution at 80-100°C for 15-25 min. The epidermal peels were stripped-off gently with the help of forceps and brush. Epidermal peels were washed in distilled water, stained with aqueous safranin and mounted in 50% glycerine; the margins of the cover-slips were sealed with Dibutyl phthalate xylol. The slides were examined under light microscope and drawings were made with the help of camera lucida. The quantitative data were based on the average of six readings. Both qualitative and quantitative data recorded for the species were presented in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively.

#### Results

## Stomata

The stomata were anomocytic and present on the surface of peduncles (*Aerides multiflora*, *Dendrobium moschatum*, *Phaius tankervilliae* and *Rhynchostylis retusa*), pedicels (*Cymbidium pendulum*, *D. moschatum* and *P. tankervilliae*) and capsules (*C. pendulum*, *D. moschatum*, *P. tankervilliae* and *R. retusa*) (Fig. 1 A-O).

The number of stomata/mm<sup>2</sup> in peduncle ranged from 6-27, in pedicel 6-43 and in capsule 6-27. In peduncle, the lowest number of stomata (6) was found in *R. retusa*, while the highest (27) in *P. tankervilliae*. In pedicel, the lowest number of stomata (6) was found in *C. pendulum* and *D. moschatum*, while the highest (43) in *P. tankervilliae*. In capsule, the lowest number of stomata (6) was found in *C. pendulum*, while the highest (27) in *P. tankervilliae*.

The smallest size of stomata was recorded in the capsules of *R. retusa* ( $13.53 \times 14.52 \mu$ m) and largest in the pedicel of *D. moschatum* ( $121.04 \times 199.36 \mu$ m). The stomatal index in peduncle ranged from 0.05-3.81, in pedicel 0.18-6.18 and in capsule 0.13-3.27. In peduncle, the lowest numbers of stomatal index (0.05)

(DECEMBER 30,



Fig.1. A-O. Epidermal structures of peduncle, pedicel, and capsule of five orchid species from NorthEast India: A-C, Aerides multiflora; D-F, Cymbidium pendulum; G-I, Dendrobium moschatum; J-L, Phaius tankervilliae; M-O, Rhynchostylis retusa.

Table 1.	Qualitative	characters	of peduncle.	pedicel, an	nd capsule e	epidermis o	of five orchid	species fro	m NorthEast India.
				,					

Species				Epidermal	cell				
		Shape		V	Vall nature		Туре	of Stomata	
	Peduncle	Pedicel	Capsule	Peduncle	Pedicel	Capsule	Peduncle	Pedicel	Capsule
Aerides multiflora	Tetragonal	Tetragonal to polygonal	Tetragonal to polygonal	Almost straight	Almost straight	Almost straight	Anomocytic	-	-
Cymbidium pendulum	Tetragonal to polygonal	Tetragonal to polygonal	Polygonal	Almost straight	Almost straight	Almost straight	-	Anomocytic	-
Dendrobium moschatum	Tetragonal to polygonal	Tetragonal to polygonal	Tetragonal to polygonal	Almost straight	Almost straight	Almost straight	Anomocytic	Anomocytic	Anomocytic
Phaius tankervilliae	Pentagonal to polygonal	Pentagonal to polygonal	Pentagonal to polygonal	Almost straight	Almost straight	Almost straight	Anomocytic	Anomocytic	Anomocytic
Rhynchostylis retusa	Tetragonal to polygonal	Polygonal	Tetragonal to polygonal	Curvy	Almost straight	Curvy	Anomocytic	-	Anomocytic

were recorded in *D. moschatum*, while the highest (3.81) in *P. tankervilliae*. In pedicel, the lowest number of stomatal index (0.18) was found in *C. pendulum*, while the highest (6.18) in *P. tankervilliae*. In capsule, the lowest number of stomatal index (0.13) was found in *C. pendulum*, while the highest (3.27) in *P. tankervilliae*.

#### Epidermis

The epidermal cells were tetragonal to polygonal, in all the investigated species, except in the peduncles of A. multiflora, where these were tetragonal and polygonal in the pedicels of *R. retusa* and in the capsules of *C.* pendulum, while pentagonal to polygonal in the peduncle, pedicel, and capsules of Phaius tankervilliae (Table 1). The epidermal cell walls were almost straight in all the investigated plant parts (peduncle, pedicel, and capsule) of the species except in *D. moschatum* and *R. retusa* where these were curvy to straight, and curvy, respectively (Table 1). The number of epidermal cells/mm<sup>2</sup> in peduncles ranged from 681-2631. The lowest number of epidermal cells (681) were recorded in *P. tankervilliae* and highest (2631) in *D. moschatum*. The number of epidermal cell/mm<sup>2</sup> in pedicels ranged from 652-3176. The lowest number of epidermal cells (652) was recorded in *P. tankervilliae* and highest (3176) in *C. pendulum*. The number of epidermal cells/mm<sup>2</sup> in capsules ranged from 825-4710. The lowest number of epidermal cells (825) was recorded in P. tankervilliae and highest (4710) in C. pendulum.

In peduncle, the smallest size of epidermal cells was recorded in *C. pendulum* (12.81 × 59.80-54.59 × 218.34 µm) and largest in *P. tankervilliae* (99.68 × 172.66-89.00 × 357.78 µm). In pedicel, the smallest size of epidermal cells was recorded in *C. pendulum* (31.33 × 35.6-45.09 × 68.83 µm) and largest in *P. tankervilliae* (99.68 × 249.20-04.42 × 427.20 µm). In capsule, the smallest size of epidermal cells was recorded in *C. pendulum*  (29.90 × 34.18-39.16 × 60.52 μm) and largest in *R. retusa* (90.78 × 137.06-98.26 × 192.80 μm).

# Discussion

Very little has been known about the peduncle anatomy of orchid species. Apart from the recent report of Sonowal and Baruah (2010) on the foliar epidermal characters of 20 orchid species from NorthEast India, few other earlier works (Baruah, 1998, 2001; Baruah and Saikia, 2002; Baruah and Sonowal, 2010; Handique, 1991; Handique and Handique, 1996; Sonowal and Baruah, 2010, 2012; Vij *et al.*, 1991) on the anatomical aspects have been reported from the East Himalayan region.

Sonowal and Baruah (2010) reported anomocytic stomata in the leaves of *Aerides multiflora*, *Dendrobium moschatum*, *Phaius tankervilliae* and *Rhynchostylis retusa*, where the leaves were hypostomatic except in *Rhynchostylis retusa* where these were amphistomatic. In the present investigation, the same type of stomata *i.e.* anomocytic were observed in the peduncle of *Aerides multiflora*, *Dendrobium moschatum*, *Phaius tankervilliae* and *Rhynchostylis retusa*; in the pedicel of *Cymbidium pendulum* and *Dendrobium moschatum*; and in the capsules of *Cymbidium pendulum*, *Dendrobium moschatum*, *Phaius tankervilliae* and *Rhynchostylis retusa*. Interestingly, the pedicels of *Phaius tankervilliae* were found to have paracytic stomata.

A dichotomous artificial key, formulated on the basis of evaluated epidermal characters of peduncle, pedicel and capsule, is presented below:

**1a.** Stomata absent in the epidermal surface of capsule; epidermal cell of peduncle tetragonal; Stomata present in the epidermal surface of peduncle; Number of epidermal cells/mm<sup>2</sup> in peduncle, pedicel and capsule

Table 2. Quantitative data of peduncle, pedicel, and capsule epidermis of five orchid species from NorthEast India.

Species			Epiderma	ıl cell							Stomata				
		Size (µm)		Ŋ	umber/ mm2			Peduncle			Pedicel			Capsule	
	Pedun- cle	Pedicel	Cap- sule	Pedun- cle	Pedicel	Cap- sule	Size	Number/ mm <sup>2</sup>	Index	Size	Number/ mm <sup>2</sup>	Index	Size	Number/ mm <sup>2</sup>	Index
Aerides multiflora	53.40 × 168.45 – 74.76 × 387.82	71.12 × 161.98 – 96.12× 270.56	81.88 × 106.80 - 115.54 × 175.15	1605	1391	1294	106.8× 234.96	v	0.37	,			1	1	1
Cymbidium pendulum	12.81 × 59.80 – 54.59 × 218.34	31.33 × 35.6 - 45.09× 68.83	29.90× 34.18 – 39.16 × 60.52	2600	3176	4710	,		,	35.6 × 49.84	Ŷ	0.18	42.72 × 49.84	9	.013
Dendrobium moschatum	50.62 × 150.45- 79.74 × 200.78	69.42 × 105.02 - 95.40 × 169.45	62.30 × 96.12 – 74.04 × 145.24	2631	1657	1328	56.96 × 137.65 - 92.56× 172.66	<u></u>	0.05	121.04× 199.36	Ŷ	0.36	85.44× 103.24	13	0.41
Phaius tankervilliae	99.68 × 172.66 – 89.00 × 357.78	99.68 × 249.20 - 104.42 × 427.20	99.54× 165.54- 161.38× 377.36	681	652	825	85.44 × 103.24	27	3.81	113.92 × 178.00	43	6.18	99.68× 142	27	3.27
Rhynchostylis retusa	74.76 × 97.90 – 71.20 × 252.04	68.35 × 135.28 - 128.16 × 219.29	90.78× 137.06– 98.26× 192.80	1734	1176	1039	106.80× 192.24	ŵ	0.34			1	13.53× 14.52	17	1.60

J. ORCHID SOC. INDIA

(DECEMBER 30,

BARUAH - PEDUNCLE, PEDICEL, AND CAPSULE EPIDERMAL CHARACTERS

2017)

1605,	1391	and	1294	respectively Aerides multiflora
<b>1b.</b> Stoma epiderma	ata preser I cell of pe	nt in the ep eduncle te	pidermal s etra pentag	urface of capsule; gonal to polygonal 2

**3a.** Stomata present in the epidermal surface of pedicel 4

# References

- Barua, I.C. 2001. Orchid Flora of Kamrup District, Assam. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh Publication, Dehra Dun, India.
- Baruah, A. 1998. Vegetative anatomy of the endemic orchid Vanilla pilifera Holt. Phytomorphol., 48(1): 101-05.
- Baruah, A. 2001. Vegetative anatomical studies of Vanda teres Lindl. (Orchidaceae). J. Econ. Taxon. Bot., Add. Series, 19: 161-64.

- Baruah, A. and N. Saikia. 2002. Vegetative anatomy of the orchid Vanilla planifolia Andr. J. Econ. Tax. Bot., 26(1): 161-65.
- Baruah, A. and J. Sonowal. 2010. Comparative vegetative anatomy of Blue Vanda (*Vanda coerulea* Griff. ex Lindl.) and Red Vanda (*Renanthera imschootiana* Rolfe.) *Phyotomorphol.*, **60**(1-2): 39-42.
- Chowdhery, H.J. 1998. Orchid Flora of Arunachal Pradesh. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh Publication, Dehra Dun, India.
- Handique, A.K. 1991. Stomatal frequency and its impact on water economy in epiphytic orchids. *Sci. Cult.*, 57: 210-12.
- Handique, A.K. and G.K. Handique. 1996. Stomatal frequency of some economically important and endangered species of Lady's slipper orchid. *Indian J. Plant Physiol.*, 1(1): 57-59.
- Hegde, S.N. 2000. Orchids of Arunachal Pradesh, Forest Department, Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar, India.
- Hynniewta, T.M., S.K. Kakati, and B.M. Wadhwa. 2000. Orchids of Nagaland. BSI Publication, Calcutta, India.
- Kaushik, P. 1982. Anatomy of *Aerides* (Orchidaceae) and its ecological and taxonomical bearings. *Phytomorphol.*, **32**: 157-66.
- Kaushik, P. 1983. *Ecological and Anatomical Marvels of the Himalayan Orchids*. Today & Tomorrow's Printers & Publishers, New Delhi, India.
- Mahan Ram, P. R. and S. M. Khasim. 1987. Anatomy of three species of *Bulbophyllum* with comments on ecological adaptability and taxonomy. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.* (*Plant Sci.*), 97: 391-97.
- Metacalfe, C.R. 1961. The anatomical approach to systematics -General introduction with special reference to recent work on monocotyledons: *In: Recent Advances in Botany*, Vol. I. (ed. D L. Bailey) pp. 146-50. University of Toronto Press, Canada.
- Sonowal, J. and A. Baruah. 2010. Foliar epidermis of twenty orchid species from NorthEast India with emphasis to their taxonomy. *Pleione*, **4**(2): 163-71.
- Sonowal, J. and A. Baruah. 2012. Vegetative anatomy of *Acampe papillosa* (Lindl.) Lindl. (Orchidaceae). *J. Econ. Tax. Bot.*, **36**(2): 427-30.
- Stern, W.L. and W.S. Judd. 1999. Comparative vegetative anatomy and systematics of *Vanilla* (Orchidaceae). *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.*, **131**(4): 353-82.
- Vij., S. P., P. S. Kaushal, and Parminder Kaur. 1991. Observations on leaf epidermal features in some Indian Orchids: Taxonomic and ecological implications. *J. Orchid Soc. India*, 5(1,2): 43-53.